## III. Amendments to the Drawings

A replacement sheet for Figure 1 is attached. As requested by the Examiner, Figure 1 has been amended to improve line quality.

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1832

Appl. No. 10/647,521

IV. Remarks

Reconsideration and re-examination of this application in view of the above

amendments and the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

After entering this amendment, claims 1-8, 11 and 12 remain pending.

Amendments to the Drawings

Figure 1 was objected to because of the line quality of Figure 1. Figure 1 of

the drawing has been amended to improve the line quality. It is believed that the

changes to Figure 1 have obviated the Examiner's objection.

Specification

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the abstract of the disclosure.

More specifically, the original abstract of the disclosure utilized phases which can

be implied. The abstract of the disclosure has been amended to remove phrases

which can be implied. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been

introduced into the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-8, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,453,591, to Fehr ("Fehr"). Applicant respectfully

traverses these rejections.

The Examiner stated that Fehr discloses a vehicle temperature system

including a housing having an intake duct opening and an output opening, an

evaporator core, a heater core downstream from the evaporator core, and a

Appl. No. 10/647,521

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1832

separation wall having a first an second end. As to the separation wall, the

Examiner states that the first end of the separation wall is attached to a first

portion of the heater core and extends along the length of the heater core.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of the separation wall

extending along the length of the heater core towards the output in the space

between the evaporator core and the heater core. Unlike the present claimed

invention, the separation wall of Fehr (second air deflector 14) extends away from,

and not towards, the output (air discharges 2, 4). From this, it is submitted that

Fehr fails to disclose all the elements claimed in newly amended claim 1. The

rejection based thereon should be accordingly withdrawn.

With respect to claims 2-8, 11 and 12, these claims are dependent on claim

1 and therefore are patentable for at least the same reasons as given above in

support of claim 1. Accordingly, allowance of these claims is respectively

requested.

The Examiner rejected claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Fehr but did not reveal where the separation wall of Fehr (second

air deflector 14) defines a mixing channel for mixing cold air and hot air, the

mixing channel being downstream and in fluid communication with the cold air

portion and the hot air portion as claimed in claim 8. For hot air and cold air to

mix, hot air and cold air must first be generated by the heater core and condenser,

respectively. Logically, the mixing area for the hot air and cold air generated by

the heater core and condenser must be downstream from both the heater core

and the condenser. The separation wall of Fehr (second air deflector 14) is

downstream of the condenser (evaporator 1) but upstream of the heater core

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE

Attorney Docket No. 10541-1832

Appl. No. 10/647,521

(heat exchanger 11). Therefore, the separation wall of Fehr (second air deflector

14) cannot define a mixing channel for mixing cold air and hot air. In Fehr, the hot

air and cold air are mixed in a mixing area near the air deflector 8 and not in an

area defined by the second air deflector 14.

Claim 8 has been rewritten in independent form and is therefore allowable

for at least the same reasons given in support of claim 8. Accordingly, allowance

of claim 8 and its dependant claims 11 and 12 is respectively requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted

that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of

record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

March 29, 2006

Date

John A. Lingl (Reg. No. 57,414)

Attachments: One (1) sheet of replacement drawings

BRINKS HOFER GILSON &LIONE